		Savings Proposal							
Cabinet Member Councillor Steve Evans									
Assistant Director	Viv C	Viv Griffin				Efficiency			
Savings Owner	Kathy	Roper							
Proposal:Learning Winterbourne	Disability - Commissioning Projects -		2014/15 £000	2015/16 £000	2016/17 £000	2017/18 £000	2018/19 £000	Total	
Details of proposal: Additional resources required in 2013/14 carry out additional social work reviews of Learning Disability Clients in NHS Hospitals or High Cost Residential / Nursing Care are no longer required. The reviews are now complete.		Reduction in Revenue Expenditure (Show as Positive)	123	-	-	-	-	123	
		Additional Income (Show as Positive)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Net Saving	123	-	-	-	-	123	
		Invest-to-save Funds Required							
		Revenue (Negative)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Capital (Negative)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Total Invest-to-save Funds Required	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Reduction in Staffing - Headcount	2	-	-	-	-	2	
		Reduction in Staffing - FTE	2	-	-	-	-	2	
		Staffing remaining - post savings FTE		I		I			
		Current Revenue Budget for Service Area (£000)		123					
		Revenue Budget for Service Area - post savings (£000)		0					
Communications	Strategy Implications								
The communication	ns strategy implications of this proposal are lik	kely to be minimal.							
Corporate Landlord Implications									
There are no corporate landlord implications.									
Customer Implications									
There are no customer implications.									
Economic Implications									
There are no economic implications.									
Environmental Implications									
There are no environmental implications.									
Equality Implications									
A stage one equalities analysis has been completed, and a full equalities analysis is not required.									
Financial Implications									
The financial implications are set out above.									
Health Implications									
There are no health implications.									
Legal Implications									
There are no legal implications. Policy Implications									
Policy Implications There are no policy implications									
Procurement Implications There are no procurement implications.									
Staffing Implications									
The are no staffing implications as the proposed reduction reflects posts not established or filled.									