



Response to Request for Information

Reference FOI 003152
Date 6 March 2019

Domestic Abuse and rehousing

Request:

We are unable to report on this for non-homeless applications because priority is awarded in multiple ways. Information relation to homeless applications is below.

1. Please can you tell me how many victims of domestic abuse have approached the council for help finding new housing in each year over the past five years.
[See Below](#)
2. Please can you tell me what the outcome was for each applicant over the past five years. If you are not able to list the outcome for every applicant, please can you give an overall picture for each year i.e. 20 people were given temporary accommodation etc
[See Below](#)
3. How many of these applicants in each year over the past five years were found not to be in priority need and what reason was given?
[See Below](#)
4. How many of the applicants who were found not to be in priority need successfully appealed the council's decision?

We only manually kept info on reviews, there has never been any formal recording mechanism for this, so we have no data for the first 4 years. From Sept 17 – Feb 2019 we have had no appeals for DV cases on priority needs

Response 1 – Number of Domestic Violence Applications taken – (Violent relationship breakdown)

13-14	162
14-15	150
15-16	148
16-17	170
17-18	145

Response 2 – Decisions on DV Applications

2013-14

Decision outcomes for DV cases 13-14	
Applicant requested case to be closed	8
Eligible but not homeless	55
Eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority	83
Eligible, Homeless, not in priority need	1
Totover	
WCCTCYTERM	
Not eligible	2
Client found own accommodation	8
No Outcome	5

Looking at current tenure data, there was approximately 91 cases in different forms of hostel accommodation, either LA schemes or voluntary.

2014-15

Decision outcomes for DV cases 14-15	
Applicant requested case to be closed	7
Eligible but not homeless	52
Eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority	54
Eligible, Homeless, not in priority need	9
WCCTCYTERM	1
Not eligible	3
Client found own accommodation	6
Eligible, homeless, priority need, intentionally so	3
No Outcome	15

Looking at current tenure data, there was approximately 65 cases in different forms of hostel accommodation, either LA schemes or voluntary.

2015-16

Decision outcomes for DV cases 15-16	
Applicant requested case to be closed	11
Eligible but not homeless	44
Eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority	73
Eligible, Homeless, not in priority need	9
Not eligible	1
Client found own accommodation	3
No Outcome	16

Looking at current tenure data, there was approximately 65 cases in different forms of hostel accommodation, either LA schemes or voluntary.

2016-17

Decision outcomes for DV cases 16-17	
Applicant requested case to be closed	11
Eligible but not homeless	47
Eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority	73
Eligible, Homeless, not in priority need	12
Totover	

[NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

WCCTCYTERM	
Not eligible	1
Client found own accommodation	4
No Outcome	22

Looking at current tenure data, there was approximately 93 cases in different forms of hostel accommodation, either LA schemes or voluntary.

2017-18

Decision outcomes for DV cases 17-18	
Applicant requested case to be closed	02
Eligible but not homeless	42
Eligible, unintentionally homeless and in priority	73
Eligible, Homeless, not in priority need	13
Totover	01
WCCTCYTERM	01
Not eligible	01
Client found own accommodation	02
No Outcome	09

Looking at current tenure data, there was approximately 92 cases in different forms of hostel accommodation, either LA schemes or voluntary.

Outcome after final decision	
Housed	46
of which by the Local Authority	39
of which by Housing Associations	04
of which by Private landlord	01
not known	02

Response 3 - Eligible, Homeless, not in priority need - Unfortunately no reasons available.

13-14 01 case
 14-15 09 cases
 15-16 09 cases
 16-17 12 cases
 17-18 13 cases